

Climate Resilient Green Economy (CRGE)

Project/program Concept Note and Proposal Preparation Guideline and Templates

Ministry of Finance and Economic Development(MOFED) and Ministry of Environment and Forest (MEF)

ACRONYMS
1. BACKGROUND
2. PURPOSE OF THE GUIDELINES
3. ELIGIBILITY
4. APPLICATION PHASE AND TIMELINE
5. KEY STANDARDS FOR APPLICATON
6. APPLICATION PROCEDURE
7. APPLICATION TEMPLATES
7.1 Project/program CONCEPT NOTE SUBMISSOIN TEMPLATE: TEMPLATE A5
7.2 PROGRAM/PROJECT/PROGRAM PROPOSAL TEMPLATE: TEMPLATE B9
9. ACTIVITY SCHEDULE AND BUDGET 15
10 RESULT/LOGICAL FRAMEWORK
11. STAKEHOLDERS MATRIX
12. RISK MATRIX
Annex 2-Checklist for the Environmental & Social screening at Proposal Development Stage 43

Outline

ACRONYMS

СОР	Conference of the Parties
CRGE	Climate Resilient Green Economy
EE	Executing Entity
FTP	Fast Track Project/program Proposals
GTP	Growth and Transformation Plan
IE	Implementing Entity
MEF	Ministry of Environment and Forest
MoFED	Ministry of Finance and Economic Development
MRV	Measurement, Reporting and Verification
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
NPC	National Planning Commission

1. BACKGROUND

The Government of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia is taking its Climate-Resilient Green Economy (CRGE) initiative to address the adverse effects of climate change by building a greener economy. The CRGE strategy was launched during the 17th Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP 17) in Durban, South Africa in December 2017. Through this, Ethiopia has committed itself to build a climate resilient green economy and achieve middle-income status by 2025, with a zero net greenhouse gas emission while ensuring climate resilience.

To make this ambition a reality, the Government of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia has committed itself to fully mainstream/integrate the Climate Resilient Green Economy (CRGE) into the second Growth and Transformation Plan (GTP-II) beginning 2015. This will ensure that all the regions of Ethiopia and the sectors of its economy work towards the effective implementation of sustainable, strategic and resilient mitigation initiatives constituting main components of the GTP, thus ensuring a lasting, inclusive and sustainable economic development which will continue to be resilient to the increasing climate change.

The Ministry of Environment and Forest (MEF) in collaboration with relevant stakeholders has prepared a guideline which will enable sectors to integrate/mainstream CRGE into GTP-II and beyond. The National Planning Commission (NPC) has officially communicated this guideline to the key economic sectors to strictly follow the guideline during preparation of sectoral GTP-II plans.

The Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (MOFED) has established the CRGE Facility in order to mobilize climate finance from various sources including multilateral and bilateral sources and leverage public investment on climate change mitigation and adaptation actions. The CRGE Facility has become operational in late 2012. MOFED has prepared an operational manual for the CRGE Facility, which provides in-depth elaboration of the Facility's institutional arrangement, financial management, internal and external control frameworks, Project/program/program management including appraisal process, etc.

2. PURPOSE OF THE GUIDELINES

These guidelines are issued by the Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (MOFED) and the Ministry of Environment and Forest (MEF) to provide guidance to the key CRGE Sectoral ministries at the federal level (Implementing Entities) and Federal and Regional Executing Entities on how to develop successful concept notes and proposals and access funds available in the CRGE Facility.

The CRGE Facility Secretariat (consisting of both the Technical Team in MEF and the Finance Team in MOFED) in collaboration with the NPC and other stakeholders is the responsible body to review and appraise investment proposals present to the CRGE Facility Management Committee for funding decisions.

3. ELIGIBILITY

All line ministries, regional bureaus (i.e. IEs) and universities, NGOs, research institutes and community based organizations (EEs) are eligible to apply. Executing Entities (EEs) at Federal and

Regional level are required to apply through the respective relevant federal ministries (IEs). The following table summarizes the eligibility requirement for funding from the CRGE Facility.

Criterion	Details
1	An Implementing Entity that has established its own CRGE Focal Point , in accordance with the requirements set pursuant to this mechanism as well as MEF will be eligible to access resources from the Facility.
2	Each Implementing Entity shall designate a focal person that endorses reduction proposals on its behalf. To be eligible, a proposal must be endorsed by the focal person designated by the requesting implementing entity.
3	The Focal Institution's highest body who endorses a proposal must declare that the Implementing Entity will bear the full responsibility for the overall management of the approved reduction investment proposal financed by the Facility, and will thus bear all financial, monitoring, and reporting responsibilities.
4	Proposals must demonstrate alignment with Sector GTP and CRGE priorities, and be developed in accordance with the SRM process and guidance.
5	The proposal must include a coherent monitoring and evaluation plan that meets the criteria of the CRGE M&E framework.
6	The proposal must set out clearly how social and environmental safeguards will be incorporated in the design, implementation and monitoring process in line with the CRGE Environmental and Social Safeguards Framework, which is consistent with the World Bank standards in most cases.
7	The proposals must include clearly specified indicators on the amount of GHG emission reduction targets, impacts on poverty reduction, food security, employment generation, etc.

4. APPLICATION PHASE AND TIMELINE

The CRGE Facility will accept one cycle of proposal submission and approval each year. As appropriate the operating cycle may be adjusted to suit the requirement of the GTP sector plans but will always be based on a minimum of one cycle each year. The main proposal submission and approval cycle will be informed by the country's annual budget and availability of funds in the CRGE Facility accounts. This implies that proposals should be submitted well in advance so that funding decisions could be finalised and announced in July. Assuming availability of sufficient funds, a second cycle could then be operated with proposals to be submitted in November and decisions to be finalised and announced the following January (these decisions would therefore potentially help inform the next budgeting process). The CRGE Facility Secretariat could support sectors to develop proposals as required.

5. KEY STANDARDS FOR APPLICATON

Proposals are required to meet the following standard to be eligible for CRGE Facility funding. The following should be considered in preparing concept notes and proposals.

- 1. As much as possible, activities <u>should</u> be identified and selected from the options set out in the GTP or the sectoral Climate Resilient Strategies and the CRGE Facility Result Matrix¹.
- 2. <u>Activities</u> should be able to be implemented using the lessons learned from Project/programs and programmes which have been or which are being implemented.
- 3. As much as possible, when developing the concept note, sectors need to ensure that the activities can be sustained in the long term and scaled up in line with the CRGE Strategy ambitions.
- 4. Concept notes and proposals need to clearly indicate how they will contribute to poverty reduction.
- 5. Concept notes and proposals need to indicate the expected benefits to gender equality.
- 6. Concept notes and proposals need to demonstrate how activities will contribute to the promotion of accountability.
- 7. Concept notes and proposals need to be clearly designed to deliver mitigation and adaptation results which contribute to the fulfilment of GTP II.
- 8. All proposed activities need to clearly indicate that the negative environmental and social impacts are is localized and solvable, or preferably, there will be no negative impacts at all. Proposals should avoid activities that might give rise to unacceptable or unmanageable environmental or social impacts (Refer to the FDRE Proclamation 299/2002 and Regulation No. 1/2007 for the national environmental and safeguards requirements).

6. APPLICATION PROCEDURE

The Implementing Entities will submit concept notes and Project/program proposals to the CRGE Facility. The Facility Secretariat in collaboration with the NPC and stakeholders then assesses and prioritizes proposals against both technical criteria related to the environment and climate change (that include resilience, emission reduction and green growth) and wider development criteria (in particular alignment with the GTP, poverty reduction and social inclusion issues including gender equality), as well as the degree to which they provide safeguards against undesirable social and environmental impact. For all proposals that pass this scrutiny, it is the responsibility of the Secretariat to review the quality and completeness of the submission, and to seek from the relevant proposal to the standard required by the Technical Team, Advisory Board and Management Committee.

During the drafting phase of the proposals the CRGE Facility Secretariat will be available to provide support. Once completed, the FIEs will submit proposals to the CRGE Secretariat. The Ministries will physically submit it to the CRGE Facility, giving official approval by the top official of the Ministry.

¹ See annex 12(1) of the CRGE Facility Operations Manual (1st Revised Version)

7. APPLICATION TEMPLATES

7.1 Project/program CONCEPT NOTE SUBMISSOIN TEMPLATE: TEMPLATE A

SECTION 1: INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORGANISATION APPLYING		
1.1	Organization name	
1.2	Office address	
1.3	Website address (If applicable)	
1.4	Main contact person	Name:
		Position:
		Email:
		Tel:
1.5	List the on-going Project/programs that are being implemented by your institution and are CRGE related.	
SECTION 2: BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSED PROJECT/PROGRAM		
2.1	Project/program title (State your Project/program title in a clear statement that shows what you are intending to achieve).	
2.2	Where will the Project/program be implemented? (Federal / Region level/woreda/Kebele) Please briefly describe the geographical location, Socio-Economic description and the description of the biological and physical Environment.	
2.3	Estimated Project/program duration (in number of months: please also show as, dd/mm/yy to dd/mm/yy)	
2.4	What is the likely total cost of the	

	Project/program? (In US Dollars)	
2.5	ACRONYMS	
	(Please list all acronyms used in your application, spelling ou	ıt each one in full:)
SECTION	3: FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOS	ED PROJECT/PROGRAM
(Maximu	m 3 pages for the whole of Section 3)	
3.1	PURPOSE, OBJECTIVE, SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES, API	PROACH
3.1.1	Project/program Background: (<i>Outline the overall aim of th</i> <i>Project/program. Describe clearly what change it intends to</i>	ne Project/program, the expected impact, outcome and outputs of the achieve, and who will benefit). (Please don't exceed 150 words)
3.1.2	Why is this Project/program needed at this to justification of why the implementation of this Project/prog mitigation/ what type of environmental, social and econom problem observed in relation to the targeted group, targeted	me (Problem Statement)? (Clearly state evidence based ram is necessary in terms of climate change adaptation and/or ic problems will be addressed because of this intervention. Define the d beneficiaries and give concrete examples of the problems)
3.1.3	<u>What</u> specific change is this Project/program and then "Specific Objectives", ensure your objectives are s (SMART) manner in such a way that they address specific corresults.)	intended to achieve? (<i>In this case write the 'General Objective'</i> et in a Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Time bound limate change adaptation and/or mitigation objectives and expected
3.1.4	What are the activities to be implemented objectives described? (Describe briefly the main activi- statement in logical and sequential order in numbers or bulk section 3.1.3)	by the Project/program to achieve the specific vities planned to be implemented in this Project/program in a clear lets. Be sure that the activities are aligned with the objective stated in
3.1.5	How will Project/program monitoring and ex (please indicate who will be responsible for evaluation, how such as field work, desk review, interview, etc should be out Project/program to ensure that it stays on track and it is de	valuation (M&E) and lesson learning be undertaken? the Project/program will be monitored and evaluated (methodologies lined). You need to describe how you are going to monitor the livering the expected impact)

3.2.	FULFILMENT OF ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA
3.2.1	What will be the strategic benefit of your Project/program in terms of contributing to Ethiopia's climate resilient green economy? (<i>Please indicate the options that you have identified from the CRGE or Sectoral CR options</i> ?
3.2.2	Where relevant, identify which existing programme this activity will complement? (please show how the activities in this Project/program complement but not overlap with existing programmes)
3.2.3	How will your proposed activities contribute to poverty reduction? (Please show how the implementation of the activities planned would contribute to the improvement of household income, creation of women and youth employment while enhancing the ecosystem/environment service giving capacity in a sustainable way)
3.2.4	<u>What</u> are the expected benefits towards gender equality from your proposed activities? (please show how the Project/program ensures women's meaningful participation and equity and child welfare. Please also show the means of verification of this participation and equity.)
3.2.5	<u>Who</u> are the direct beneficiaries and who are partners working in the Project/program and what roles do they have? (please clearly show the direct beneficiaries of the Project/program, parties who will take part in the implementation of activities as it helps to show who is going to benefit, who is responsible or be partner for which type of task/activity)
3.2.6	How will the Project/program activities be sustainable in the long term and what is the potential for scaling up? (Please show what type of approaches are designed to ensure that Project/program activities under implementation will be carried on even after the termination of the Project/program. Please also show that how the results of the Project/program can be scaled up to other wider communities, geographical scope or other entities).
3.2.7	What are the expected negative social and environmental <i>impacts</i> (<i>Please refer to FDRE</i> <i>Proclamation 299/2002 and Regulation No. 1/2007 for the national environmental and safeguards</i> <i>requirements when responding</i>) How will the expected negative social and environmental impacts due to the implementation of the Project/program be tackled?

3.2.8	How will the Project/programs take into account occupational health and safety aspects in line with Ethiopia's Proclamation on occupational health and safety (Proc. No. 377/2002)? (As appropriate, please indicate what type of occupational health and safety practice will be considered during the implementation of the Project/program)

T

7.2 PROGRAM/PROJECT/PROGRAM PROPOSAL TEMPLATE: TEMPLATE B

Please note the following page limits:

Sections 1-2 : 3 sides of A4

Sections 3-4 : 3 sides of A4

Sections 5-7 : 3 sides of A4

Please complete all sections even if some responses are the same as those submitted at the Concept note stage.

SECTIO	SECTION 1: SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT/PROGRAM/PROGRAM PROPOSAL	
1.1	Project/program title (State your Project/program/program title in a clear statement that shows what you are intending to do)	
1.2	Project/program/program summary (The summary paragraph should provide an overview of the proposed Project/program/program, useful for potential donor or for parties interested in gaining a better understanding of the Project/program/program with a limit of 150 words. The executive summary should include: ▶ the problem statement; ▶ the Project/program/program/program's objectives; > implementing organizations; > key Project/program/program activities; and > the total Project/program/program 	
1.3	Lead organisation (indicate the organization by which the execution of the Project/program/program will be conducted)	

1.4	Anticipated start date (DD/MM/YYYY)	
1.5	Project/program/program duration (in number of months: please also show as, dd/mm/yy to dd/mm/yy)	
1.6	Total funding requested (in USD) (You are expected to provide budget details in Activity Schedule and budget Section 7)	
1.7	Please list all acronyms used in your applic out each one in full:) Eg: MEF: Ministry of Environment and Forest	ation (Please list all acronyms used in your application, spelling
SECTIC	ON 2: INFORMATION ABOUT THE APPLICANT	
2.1	Organisation name	
2.2	Office address (please write Region, Woreda, city, Kifle Ketema, Tel No,(cell and fixed) Fax, E-mail)	
2.3	Website address (if applicable)	
2.4	Contact person/ Project/program/program Leader (the person who has ultimate responsibility for delivering this Project/program/program I.e. the person who is the CRGE focal person. Write his/her Tel No, (cell and fixed) Fax, E-mail).	
SECTIO	N 3: INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROJECT/PRO	OGRAM/PROGRAM
3.1	Project/program/program Background: (<i>O expected impact, outcome and outputs of intends to achieve, and who will benefit</i>).	utline the overall aim of the Project/program/program, the the Project/program/program. Describe clearly what change it

3.1.1	Why this Project/program/program is needed (Problem Statement)? (<i>Clearly state evidence based justification of why the implementation of this Project/program/program is necessary in terms of climate change adaptation and/or mitigation/ what type of environmental, social and economic problems will be solved because of the implementation of the Project/program/program. Define the problem observed in relation to the targeted group, targeted institutions and give concrete examples of the problems</i>)
3.1.2	<u>What</u> specific change is this Project/program intended to achieve? (In this case write the 'General Objective' and then "Specific Objectives", ensure your objectives are set in a Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Time bound (SMART) manner in such a way that they address specific climate change adaptation and/or mitigation objectives and expected results.)
3.1.3	What are the activities to be implemented by the Project/program to achieve the specific objectives described? (<i>Describe briefly the main activities planned to be implemented in this Project/program in a clear statement in logical and sequential order in numbers or bullets. Be sure that the activities are aligned with the objective stated in section 3.1.2</i>)
3.1.4	Who are the beneficiaries of this Project/program and what expected impact will it have on their present circumstance? (<i>Clearly state the direct beneficiaries of the Project/program as well as the indirect beneficiaries. It is also necessary to clearly state what type of change and impact the implementation of the Project/program will bring on the current situation of the direct and indirect beneficiaries</i>).
3.1.5	What change is this Project/program intended to achieve? State Project/program impact, outcome and outputs on the environment, biodiversity condition, land degradation, pollution, etc. as appropriate) (<i>these should be taken from the Project/program log frame/result matrix in section 9</i>).
3.2	APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY
3.2.1	How will the Project/program impact, outcome and outputs be achieved? Clearly describe the approach and methodology to be followed and list out activities planned in logical sequence. (<i>you will include a work plan and activity log in section 8 and 9</i>)

3.3	PROJECT/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION
3.3.1	Who will be carrying out the different Project/program activities? (Describe the Project/program implementation and management arrangements, including a clear description of the roles and responsibilities of each of the partners and the coordination role. (<i>Make sure that this will be consistent with the description you showed in the log frame/result matrix in section 10 and stakeholder's analysis matrix in section 11. You may wish also to present this as an appendix in the form of an organogram of how partners relate to each other). Please also provide detailed description of the project closure strategy with financial, technical and ESS considerations.</i>
SECTIO	N 4: MONITORING, EVALUATION, LESSON LEARNING
4.1	How will the performance of the Project/program be monitored and evaluated? (<i>Describe the responsible bodies and actors who will involve in the M&E, lessons learning as consistent with the log frame. Please also describe how the log-frame will be used as a key monitoring tool).</i>
4.2	How will you involve beneficiaries in monitoring and evaluation? (<i>Clearly show how the beneficiaries</i> themselves will be involved in the day to day and/or timely Project/program monitoring and evaluation. <i>Please note that M&E plans that are more inclusive will be favoured</i>).
4.3	How will you monitor organizational capacity building and change over the life of the Project/program? (Please describe the monitoring mechanism of capacity built and change obtained during the Project/program life).
4.4	Lesson learning : (Please list the learning activities and lesson sharing strategy of the Project/program).
4.5	Develop MRV plan: Please show what the MRV will look like [The MRV guide will be worked out and will be communicated in the mean time until the concept not and proposal is prepared by the prospective Project/program developers]
SECTIO	
5201101	
5.1	In brief, outline how this Project/program will contribute towards providing the information required for national climate resilience and mitigation agenda. (Please describe how the Project/program contributes to

	the initiatives of the Ethiopian Climate Resilient Green Economy Strategy agenda).
SECTIO	N 6: PROJECT/PROGRAM RISKS ² AND MITIGATION
6.1	Please outline the main risks to successful delivery of this Project/program indicating whether they are high, medium or low. How will these risks be mitigated? If the risks are outside your direct control, how will the Project/program design address them? (<i>Please complete the risk matrix in Section 11</i>)
6.2	What risks, if any, does this Project/program pose to the environment, people or institutions affected by the Project/program and how will these be managed and/or mitigated? Please complete Annex X environmental and social impact checklist and consider safeguard policy measures
SECTIO	N 7: STANDARDS FOR APPLICATION
7.1	Impacts and benefits to the poor: How will the proposed Project/program activities contribute to poverty reduction?
7.2	Impacts and benefits on gender: <u>What</u> are the expected benefits towards gender equality from your proposed activities?
7.3	Partnership: How will the Project/program foster greater partnership between climate actors in Ethiopia, and/or between Ethiopian climate actors and international counterparts?
7.4	Results based: What results will the Project/program deliver?

² Provide risk related information using the template in section 12

7.5	Sustainability: How will the benefits and results delivered by the Project/program be sustained and scaled up after FTI funding comes to an end?									
7.6	Safeguard measures ³ : What is the experimental 299/2002 and Regulation No. 1/2007 for responding)	Safeguard measures ³ : What is the expected negative social and environmental impacts (FDRE Proclamation 299/2002 and Regulation No. 1/2007 for the national environmental and safeguards requirements when responding)								
7.7	Occupational Health : How will the Project/programs take into account occupational health and safety aspects in line with Ethiopia's has a specific Proclamation on occupational health and safety (Proc. No. 377/2002)? (As appropriate, please indicate what type of occupational health and safety practice will be considered during the implementation of the Project/program)									
SECTIO	ON 8. BUDGET AND SUPPORT NEEDS									
8.1	Total cost of the Project/program\$(USD)									
8.2	Indicate if there is similar imitative/s w remaining budget)	hich this Project/program would	complement (Its name and							
8.3	What information, training and technica Project/program activities to have a high training, workshop, etc)? What is the be	al support does your organization r h impact? How will this support be est way to deliver this training and	need for the proposed e obtained (E.g. onsite supprt, technical support?							

³ Fill the safeguard self-screening checklist presented in annex2 and submit it along with the proposal to the CRE Facility secretariat

9. ACTIVITY SCHEDULE AND BUDGET

Component and	Outputs	Activity Description	Total	2014			Budget description in	Amount in GB	Implementing	
output				Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	GB Pound Sterling		
								•		
								•		
								•		
								•		
								•		

RESULT/LOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The following table presents description of the key log-frame components of project or program result framework

Project Description	Baselines	Targets	Performance Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
Goals/Impact: The broader development impact to which the project contributes – at a national & sectoral level	Value of the development status before the implementation of programme	Specific, planned level of result to be achieved after the completion of the programme/project	Measures of the extent to which a sustainable contribution to the goal has been made. Used during evaluation	Sources of information & methods used to collect & report it	Overall Risk Analysis
<u>Outcomes/Purposes</u> : The development outcomes expected at the end of the project/programme. All components contribute to this.	Value of the performance indicator (institutional services or behavioral) that contribute to the achievement of the relevant result	Specific, planned level of result to be achieved at the end of the programme/project	Conditions at the end of the project indicating that the purpose has been achieved and that benefit are sustainable. Used for project completion and evaluation.	Sources of information & methods used to collect & report it	A necessary condition for the achievement of the outcomes & potential events beyond the control of the programme that could adversely affect achievement of the desired result
Outputs: the direct measurable results (products, goods and services) of the project which are largely under management control & results from completion of activities of development interventions	Value of the performance indicator before the implementation of programme activities that contribute to the achievement of the relevant result	Specific, planned level of result to be achieved within an explicit timeframe (quarterly, annually, interim, five- year	Measures of the quantity and quality of outputs & the timing of the delivery. Used during monitoring & review	Sources of information & methods used to collect & report it	A necessary condition for the achievement of outputs & potential events beyond the control of the programme that could adversely affect achievement of the desired result
Inputs and Activities : The necessary resources and actions to cause the desired					

outputs			

Log-frame Template

Intended Results	Indicators	Activities	Means of	Responsible	Inputs
			Verification	Parties	
			•	•	•
			•	•	•
			•	•	•
				•	•
			•	•	•
			•	•	•
			•	•	•

11. STAKEHOLDERS MATRIX: (Identify key stakeholders (including civil society organizations, indigenous people, gender groups, and others as relevant; and describe how they will be engaged in Project/program preparation)

Stakeholders	Relevant roles in the Project/program

12. RISK MATRIX: (Indicate risks, including climate change, potential social and environmental risks that might prevent the Project/program objectives from being achieved, and, if possible, propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the Project/program design).

#	Description of the risk	Potential consequence	Risk mitigation options	Type (Risk category)	Probability & Impact (1-5) 1=low 5=very high	Remark
1-				Political	P=? =?	
2-				Stakeholder engagement		
3-				organizational	P=? I=?	
4-				strategic	P=? =?	
5-				financial	P=? =?	
6-				ESS	P = ? I = ?	
7-				Natural disaster	P=? =?	
8-				Manmade disaster	P=? =?	

Annex-1 CRGE Facility Result Matrix

Objective	Outcome/ Output	Indicator (unit)	Baseline (year)	Target (2020)	Data Sources and Collection	Frequency	Responsible Agency	Assumptions		
					Methods					
IMPACT – Macr	oeconomic level									
For Ethiopia to become a middle- income country by 2025, through economic growth that is resilient to climate change and results in no increase in carbon emissions		Total national GHG emissions (MT) – disaggregated by sector	150 (2010) Ag = 75 Forestry = 55 Power = 5 Transport = 5 Industry = 5 Other = 5	150 (2030)	National GHG inventory	Bi-annually	MEF (National GHG Inventory)	Assumes the national GHG inventory and accounting protocol have been developed and are operational, and that sectors are accurately calculating their GHG emissions in line with internationally accepted methodologies		
		 Additional Notes / Comments: 1. Second National Communication (SNC) recently completed. Although Ethiopia is not required to report regularly to the UNFCCC (as a non-Annex 1 country), their current goal is to report on national GHG emissions bi-annually (Source: MEF) 2. Alternatively, if the bi-annual GHG reporting system is not operational before 2020, consider completing the Third National Communication in line with the end of GTPII (2010) 								
		Total national GHG emission savings (MT) – disaggregated by sector		255 (2030) Ag = 90 Forestry =	Sectoral MRV systems	Bi-annually	All CRGE priority sectors (MoA, MEF, MOWIE, MOI, MOT, MUHCo,	Sectoral MRV systems have been developed and are operational		

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions		
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency			
					Methods					
				100						
				130						
				Transport =						
				10						
				_						
				Industry =						
				20						
				Buildings = 5						
		Additional Notes / Comments:								
		3. Targets fro	m GE Strategy	(2011). See Figu	re 8 for total natio	nal abatement pot	ential.	1		
		CO2-e emissions			National GHG	Bi-annually	MoFED (GDP) &	See above.		
		per US dollar of			inventory		MEF (GHG)			
		GDP (kg / USD)								
					MoFED Annual					
					Reports					
		Additional Notes / C	omments:							
		4								
		4. See above.			Toha	Appually	Disastor Pick			
		one based on			confirmed with	Annually	Management and			
		existing data			DRMESS					
		systems &			Diana 35		Sector (DRMFSS)			
		availability					Sector (Britin 55)			
		availability								
		Economic losses as								
		a result of climate								
		sensitive events								

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions					
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency						
					Methods								
		(USD)											
		or											
		Number of people											
		affected by											
		allected by											
		disastars											
		(disaggregated by											
		(uisaggregated by											
		- GCF Strutegic-											
		level malcator											
		Additional Notes / C	Additional Notes / Comments:										
		5. Economic l	osses cannot in	clude those as a	a result of human le	oss or injury nor lo	ss of ecosystem servio	ces (cannot quantify);					
		6. Agricultura	l losses (from p	ests, flood, bird	s, etc) are reported	d as part of the anr	ual HH Agricultural S	ampling Survey, however loss					
		numbers m	nay be significat	ntly underreport	ted (Source: CSA).								
		7. Although a	vailable for oth	er countries, ne	ither indicator is cu	urrently reported to	o EMDAT-CRED (<u>http:</u>	//www.emdat.be/). Adoption of					
		8 For "numb	ator would ena	facted " may co) EIVIDAT. Desider disaggregat	tion by vulnerable	arouns including child	tren youth or elderly					
		FOR CRGE		ected may co	National	Every 5 years	CRGE Secretary	Index could be developed using					
		CONSIDERATION:			census data		with support from	mostly secondary (CSA) data.					
		Local Resilience					CSA	complemented by additional					
		Index						data collection where necessary.					
								Would reauire additional					
					Household			investment to develop index and					
					surveys (to			to establish baseline.					
		** Interim			complement								
		indicator could be			secondary data								
		used while Index is			sources)								
		being developed											
		(e.g. Percentage of											
		food secure											
		households, as											

Objective	Outcome/ Output	Indicator (unit)	Baseline (year)	Target (2020)	Data Sources and Collection	Frequency	Responsible Agency	Assumptions	
					Methods				
		collected by the							
		Food Security							
		Coordination							
		Directorate, FSCD)							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:		1	1		L	
		9 ACCPA has been doing work to assess "local adaptive conscitu": the Global Adaptation Index (GAIN) would be another cample index to							
		tailor to Ethiopia (Rwanda is developing a similar metric for FONERWA, using GAIN)							
		10. 5-year frequency proposed to align with national development planning cycles (e.g. 2015/16 – 2019/2020 for GTPII)							
OUTCOMES									
1 Agricultural	1 1 Climata	1.1.1.(Change in)			(rovisod)	Appuollu	MaA and CSA	Dovisions to the surrent	
1 Agricultural	1.1 Cliniate	1.1.1 (Chunge III)			(<i>Teviseu</i>)	Annually	IVIOA allu CSA	Agricultural Sampling Survey	
strengthened	production	under sustainable			Sampling			required to 'tag' farmer	
	production	climate smart land			Survey			practices as 'climate smart' or	
carbon	adopted and	management			Survey			not	
climate	productivity	practices (ha) – by							
resilient	increased	crop type (private							
practices		holders only) ⁴							
• • • • • • • •									
		Additional Notes / C	omments:						
		11. Indicator re	epresents a mo	dification to the	e current indicator	"Applied area (ha)	of improved seed, irr	igation, pesticide, and fertilizer, by	
		crop type,	for private hold	lers" (CSA Ag. S	ample Survey)				
		12. For private	holders tagged	d as applying irri	gation, see indicat	ors 1.2.1 and 1.2.2	sing "wainfad" agricul	•	
		13. Private noi	refers to the (a as applying Irr	n of productive lap	d or land that is o	ung runjea agricul	tion	
		15. For definiti	ons of "sustain	able, climate sn	nart land manaaen	<i>nent practices</i> ". inc	luding minimum num	ber of practices that must be	

⁴ Similar to GCF proposed indicator: Area of agricultural land made more resilient to CC through changed agricultural practices.

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
		applied in o	order to be con	sidered – see SL	MPII project docu	mentation (includi	ng M&E system) or FA	O's <u>Climate Smart Agriculture</u>
		Sourceboo	<u>k</u>					
		1.1.2 (Change in)			(revised)	Annually	MoA and CSA	See above.
		Rainfed crop land			Agricultural			
		productivity for			Sampling			
		major food crops			Survey			
		(quintal per						
		hectare)						
		 Conventional 						
		practices						
		 Climate 						
		smart						
		practices						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		16. Indicator re	epresents an im	provement to o	current indicator "A	Area under cultivat	ion, yield and product	tion of major crops" and includes
		improveme	ents adopted fr	om both PBS an	d SLMPII projects			
		17. See Comm	ent 9 above.					
		For private holders t	agged as praction	cing " <i>rainfed</i> " a	nd " <i>sustainable, cli</i>	mate smart land n	nanagement practices	" (see Indicator 1.1.1 above),
		changes in crop prod	luctivity will be	tracked separat	ely from those priv	vate holders practi	cing rainfed agricultur	re but not yet having adopted
		climate smart practic	ces.					
	1.2.1	18. Major food	crops include	cereals, pulses a	and oil seeds	Annually		See above
	1.2 Increased	1.2.1 (Change In)			(revised)	Annually		see above.
	coverage of	I otal crop land			Agricultural		and large-scale)	
	climate smart	under modern,			Sampling			
	irrigation	climate smart			Survey			Climate data and analytical
	systems	irrigation systems					MoA (small-scale)	capacity exists in-country to
		(ha and %)						assess future irrigation notantial
								under climate change scenarios
		 Medium and 						under chimate change scenarios
		large-scale						

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
•	Output	. ,	(vear)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
			()/	()	Methods			
		 Small-scale 			Reports		CSA	
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		19 Indicator re	enresents an im	nrovement to c	urrent indicator "A	Area of land develo	ned with medium and	large scale irrigation" (GTP1)
		also propos	sed for GTPII)					
		20. "modern, o	climate smart ii	rigation system	s" to be defined by	y the Responsible A	Agencies but at minim	um should require that climate
		information	n has been use	d to assess futu	e irrigation potent	ial of the region		
		1.2.2 Small-scale			(revised)	Annually	MoA and CSA	Revisions to the current
		irrigation: (Change			Agricultural			Agricultural Sampling Survey
		in) crop land			Sampling			required to 'tag' farmer
		productivity where			Survey			practices as 'climate smart' or
		modern, climate						not.
		smart and small-						
		scale irrigation						
		applied (quintal						
		per hectare)						
		 Major food 						
		crops High value						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		····· · ·						
		21. TWG advise	ed specifically t	racking product	ivity gains associat	ed with improvem	ents / expansion of sr	nall-scale irrigation given its
		significance	e to rural livelih	oods – includin	g for both food sec	curity (major food o	crops) and rural incom	nes (high value crops)
		Major food crops inc	lude cereals, pu	lses and oil see	ds.			
			ana na inaluda f					
	1.3 Increased	22. High value	crops include f	uit and vegetat		Bi-annually	MoA and CSA	Assumes the national GHG
	nroductivity	1.3.1 Linissions of			system	Di-annuany		inventory and accounting
	and resource	produced			System			nrotocols have been developed
	efficiency of	produced						and are operational and that
	livestock sector							soctors are accurately
	IIVESLUCK SECLUI				(revised)			solutions and accurately
								calculating their GHG emissions

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions			
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency				
					Methods						
					Agricultural			in line with internationally			
					Sampling			accepted methodologies			
					Survey			(indicator requires			
								quantification of GHG emissions			
								from livestock sector –			
					Live starshamed			disaggregated by type of			
					Livestock and			livestock)			
					Characteristics						
					Characteristics						
					Survey						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:			1	1				
				_							
		23. Significance	e of indicator: (CR Strategy for A	griculture emphas	izes improved efficiency	ciency of cattle produ	ction. Looking for increased milk			
		this says no	but reduced G	ng emissions p	milk production	k. Alternative mult		hs CO2-e per flead of fivestock but			
		24. Milk production not tracked under GTPI, however indicator represents an improvement to current indicators proposed for GTPII:									
		Quantity of	f milk produced	I (I); and Averag	e daily milk obtaine	ed during lactation	from an animal (I). (N	lo baseline data currently			
		provided for	or either in draf	t GTPII).							
		1.3.2 Productivity			Field surveys	Bi-annually	MoA and	Ha of communal and pasture			
		of communal					Regional	rangeland is known (woreda-			
		pasture and					counterparts	level)			
		rangeland									
		(tons/ha) – reed /									
		TOTAge									
		Additional Notes / C	omments:		1	1	1	1			
		25. Indicator re	epresents an in	nprovement to c	urrent indicator pr	oposed for GTPII "	Communal pasture a	nd rangeland developed (Ha)" (no			
		1.3.3 Livestock	1:0.93	euj	Agricultural	Annually	MoA and CSA				
		diversification:	(2013/14)		Sample Survey	,					
		(Change in)									
		Number of cattle									
		to number of									

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
_		an excltance (mention)	Cauraa		Arran			
		poultry (ratio)	Source:		Annual			
			2013/14		Agricultural			
			Agricultural		Statistical			
			Statistical		Abstract			
			Abstract					
					Livestock and			
					Livestock			
					Characteristics			
					Survey			
		Additional Notas / C	ommonto:					
		Additional Notes / C	omments.					
		26. CR Strategy	/ for Agricultur	e emphasizes tra	ansformation from	beef to poultry pro	oduction	
		27. Raw data f	or baseline cald	ulation comes f	rom the 2013/14 A	gricultural Statistic	cal Abstract (No. of ca	ittle: 55,027,280; No. of poultry:
		51,350,738	5)			I	I	
2 Forests and	2.1 Forest	2.1.1 Cumulative	15.9 million		MEF Annual	Bi-annually	MEF & regional	<i>'forest'</i> to be defined by MEF
other natural	management	area of land	ha		Reports		counterparts	(e.g. to include high forest area,
resources	practices	covered with						woodland, shrubland, forest
protected and	improved	forest (ha)						plantations, farm forests, etc)
sustainably			Source		State of the			
managed for		 Protected (%) 	GTP Appual		Environmont			
their social,		 Under improved forest 	Brogross		Poport (MEE)			Tracking changes in total
economic and		management	Progress		Report (MEP)			forested area will require
ecosystem		systems and	2012/12					improved investment and
services		reduced carbon	2012/15					monitoring technology See
		emissions						nonitoring technology. See
		practices (%) ⁵						PEDDu in Oromia for examples

⁵ In line with GCF indicator: Forest area under improved management and reduced carbon emissions practices (ha).

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:				•	
		29 Current CT	Dindicators (al	co proposod up				
		29. See also SC	E Report 2008	and reference t	o a 2004 Woodv Bi	iomass Inventorv a	nd Strategic Planning	Project (WBISPP) for definitions
		of 'forest' a	and calculation	methods. More	recently, see also	the Draft Technica	Report for Developin	ng a Reference Level and
		Designing a	MRV System f	or a REDD+ pro	gram in Oromia Re	gional State (DNV-	GL, January 2015).	
		30. "improved	forest manage PEDD+ system	ment systems ai	nd reduced carbon	emissions practice	s" may include comm	unity-based plans, catchment
		31. Tracking to	tal forested are	ea over time wil	l provide indicatior	n of reforestation a	nd deforestation tren	ds nationally. Tracking %
		protected of	or actively man	aged provides a	n indication of the	state of these fore	sted areas.	,
		32. In line with	GCF indicator:	Forest area und	ler improved mana	gement and reduc	ed carbon emissions	practices (ha).
		2.1.3 Change in			Household	Every 4 years	MoWIE, MEF, CSA	Improved efficiency cookstoves,
		household			Income and			alternative fuels or technologies
		fuelwood			Consumption			available and accessible.
		consumption (kg)			Expenditure			
					Survey (CSA)			
					Household			
					energy			
					consumption			
					surveys			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:		1	1	1	1
		33. A 2002 Mo	A study (below) found that the	average annual pe	er capita household	d consumption of fuel	wood in the Bonga Natural forest
		34. MoA. (2002	2). Study on the	e Contribution o	f Forestry to Food	Security in Ethiopia	a. Ministry of Agricult	ure. Addis Ababa. Ethiopia.
	2.2 Land and	2.2.1 Total area	. ,		SLMPII	Annually	MoA, MEF, CSA	National adoption of SLMP2
	water	(individual &			documentation			definition of 'sustainable,
	resources	communal) of land						climate smart, land
	management	under sustainable,						

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
	practices	climate smart, land						management plans'
	strengthened	management plans						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		35 For definiti	ons of "sustain	ahle climatesm	art land manager	nent nlans" see Sl	MPII project docume	ntation (including M&E system)
		May includ	e community-k	based plans, cato	chment area or wat	tershed plans. RED	D+ systems, and othe	er.
		36. Forest land	l and productiv	e land under sus	stainable, climate s	mart, land manag	ement plans captured	under Indicators 1.1.1 and 2.1.1.
		2.2.2 Number and			MoA / MEF /	Annually	MoA, MEF,	
		type of sustainable			MoWIE		MoWIE, CSA	
		water			Program /			
		management			project			
		practice			documentation			
		introduced						
					Annual reports			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
3 Energy	3.1 Renewable	3.1.1 Installed		Proposed	MoWIF	Annually	MOWIE	
systems	energy	capacity renewable		GTPII targets	Program/	,,		
improved and	generation	energy, including		(2020):	project			
modernized	capacity scaled-	from solar, wind,		、 ,	documentation			
	up and	geothermal and/or						
	diversified	biomass (type,						
		GWh)		Solar: 525				
				GWh	MoWIE Annual			
				Geothermal:	Reports			
				9,461 GWh				
1								

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline (year)	Target	Data Sources and Collection	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	output		(year)	(2020)	Methods		Agency	
				2,410 GWh				
				Wind : 1,971 GWh				
		Additional Notes / C 37. Targets in 38. No baselin	L Comments: MoWIE CR Stra e values could	tegy related to s be found.	share of total elect	l ricity mix (e.g. 20%	6 wind/solar and 10%	geothermal)
	3.2 Energy saving technologies adopted and national energy demand reduced	3.2.1 (Change in) Total power wastage (%) Additional Notes / C 39. Indicator id	21 % (2011) comments:	proposed unde	MoWIE Program/ project documentation MoWIE Annual Reports	Annually	MOWIE, Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation	
		3.2.3 Annual energy savings – disaggregated by type of energy saving measure (e.g. light bulb campaign, building design, cook stove initiatives, green			Annual Reports from MOWIE, MOI, MOT and	Annually	MOWIE, MOI, MOT and MUHCo Ethiopian Energy Authority (EEA)	Assumes strong baseline data from robust MRV system available (e.g. that sectors can calculate the energy requirements under a "business-as-usual" effort vs. an energy-saving initiative)

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions	
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency		
					Methods				
		fuels, efficient			MUHCo				
		motors, etc) ⁶							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:	I			I		
		 Calculated as an aggregation of energy savings across energy efficiency projects (from all relevant sectors) MoWIE CR Strategy suggests that the implementation of improved efficiency lighting (in residential, commercial and industrial settings) and motors (for industrial and irrigation usage) could reduce energy demand by 7,930 GWh by 2030, a reduction of 12%. Indicator in line with proposed GCF indicator, and CIF CTF results framework. 							
4 Green cities,	4.1 Green,	4.1.1 Total			MUHCo Annual	Annually	MUHCo		
buildings,	climate resilient	advanced waste			Reports				
transportation	nousing and	disposal							
and muustrial	developed	(volume/year):							
doveloped	uevelopeu	 Solid waste 							
and		 Liquid waste 							
safoguardod									
against									
climate risks									
clinate risks									
		Additional Notes / C	omments:						
		44. From Cities45. Green, climand policieplans, and	Prosperity Rep nate resilient un s for urban ass urban green sp	port – Ethiopia (rban areas references ets and services race.	UN-Habitat) s to improved urba such as drainage s	n planning and inf ystems, waste and	rastructure. This inclu wastewater manage	des improved codes, standards ment systems, urban renewal	
		46. 'Advanced waste disposal' refers to waste that has been collected, sorted, treated and disposed of using methods that are environmentally safe and socially acceptable.							
		4.1.2 Urban green			MoUDC Annual	Annually	MoUDC		
		area per capita			Reports				
		(m²/urban							

⁶ Indicator in line with proposed GCF indicator, and CIF CTF results framework.

Objective	Outcome/ Output	Indicator (unit)	Baseline (year)	Target (2020)	Data Sources and Collection	Frequency	Responsible Agency	Assumptions				
					Methods							
		habitant)										
		Additional Notes / C	omments:									
		47. Indicator fr	om Cities Pros	perity Report –	Ethiopia (UN-Habit	at)						
	4.2 Green,	4.2.1 Proportion of			MOI Annual	Annually	MOI	EIA regulations are enforced				
	resilient	industrial facilities			Reports			and mechanisms are in place				
	industries	reported to be						for compliance 'spot checks' /				
	strengthened	non-compliant						site visits from MOI, and/or				
	for domestic &	with national EIA						citizen reporting of				
	regional	regulations						environmental concerns				
	markets											
		Additional Notes / C										
		48. MOI to ens	48. MOI to ensure kebeles, woredas and regions are reporting non-compliance, as well as citizen grievances against industries.									
		4.2.2 Population	,		MOI Annual	Annually	MOI and MEF					
		exposure to air			Reports							
		pollution (%),										
		gender										
		disaggregated			State of							
					Environment							
					Reports (SOEs)							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:	•		•	·	·				
		49. OECD GG II	49. OECD GG indicators include "Human exposure to air pollution", including PM2.5, PM10. Ozone									
	4.3 Low carbon	4.3.1 Total length			MoT Annual	Annually	MOT with data					
	climate resilient	of low carbon			Reports		from the					
	transportation	transit network					Transport					
	modes	built (kms) –					Authority					
	expanded and	disaggregated by			Durante							
					Program/							

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
	adopted	type:			project			
					documentation			
		 Bike lanes 						
		 Rail Light rail 						
		 Light fail Public transport 						
		systems						
		 Pedestrian 						
		sidewalks						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		4 3 2 Household			Transportation	Every 5 years	MOT with data	1
		modal share (by			surveys	Every 5 years	from the	
		transportation			Surveys		Transport	
							Authority	
		(ype)					Authority	
		 Walking 						
		 Bicycle 						
		Bus						
		Rail						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		51. In line with	GCF indicator					
CO-BENEFITS (*	all CRGE-relevant i	initiatives should report	t on a minimun	n of 1 co-benefit	indicator under Pil	lar 5)		
-					T			1
5 Climate	5.1 Food	5.1.1 Average net			PSNP	Bi-annually	Food Security	
resilient and	Security	number of months			documentation		Coordination	
green		of HH food			and data		Directorate	
economic		insecurity (no.) –			collection		(FSCD) – MoA	
growth is		disaggregated by						

⁷ In line with GCF indicator.

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions		
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency			
					Methods					
socially		female & male-			methods					
equitable and		headed								
inclusive,		households								
addressing										
the underlying										
drivers of										
vulnerability										
, to climate										
risks.										
-		Additional Notes / C	omments:			I	I			
		52. PSNP indica	ator defined as	"Months of hou	isehold food insecu	urity when PSNP tr	ansfers are excluded.	See FSCD (MoA), or PSNP		
		documentation, for further details.								
	5.2 Rural	5.2.1 Average			PSNP	Bi-annually	Food Security			
	incomes &	value of household			documentation		Coordination			
	green jobs	assets (%) –			and data		Directorate			
		disaggregated by			collection		(FSCD) – MoA			
		female & male-			methods					
		headed								
		households								
		Additional Notes / C	omments:							
		53. PSNP Indica	ator to measure	e the value, in m	ionetary terms, of	nousenoid assets.	See FSCD (IVIOA), or P	SNP documentation, for further		
		5.2.2 Proportion of			National	Every 5 years	CSA			
		employment in			Labour Force					
		environmental			Survey					
		goods and services								
		sectors (%)								
		500015 (70)								
					Urban					
					employment /					

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
					unemployment			
					survey			
					Employment			
					Survey of Addis			
					Abeba			
		Additional Notes / Co	omments:				L	
		54. OECD GG ir	ndicator. Expres	ssed as % of tota	al employment, inc	ludes water supply	, sewerage, waste ma	anagement and remediation
		activities			N 1			
		5.2.3 Number of			National	Every 5 years	CRGE Secretariat	
		nousenoias			Labour Force		(MOFED and MEF)	
		reporting a wider			Survey		and CSA	
		variety of						
		livelinood						
		strategies			Household			
		(disaggregated by			Income,			
		male and female-			Consumption			
		neaded)			and			
					Expenditure			
					Survey (HICES)			
					Welfare			
					Monitoring			
					Survey			

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					wiethods			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
			f CCE (in aluation		D)			
	5 3 Health &	53. Variation o	r GCF (Includin)	g AF, GEF & PPC	K) District Health	Annually	MoH and	
	well-being	climate related			Surveys	, unitadity	Regional	
	Well Sellig	disease (# of			Surveys		Counterparts	
		reported cases per						
		1,000 population)			Malfana			
					Monitoring			
					Survey			
					Survey			
					MoH Annual			
					Reports			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:			1		
		56. " <i>climate in</i> (currently i	<i>duced disease"</i> under developr	includes malari nent at the time	a, cholera, dengue of writing) for mo	and others. See Cl re information.	imate Resilience Strat	egy for the Ministry of Health
	5.4 Access to	5.4.1 Number of			PBS Program	Annually	MoFED (as IE for	
	basic services	women and men			Documentation		PBS) together	
		with improved					with MoE, MoH,	
		access to basic					MoWIE, MoA /	
		services (%)					CSA, Ethiopian	
							Roads Authority	
							(10101)	
		** See High Level						
		Results Indicators						
		from PBS for						
		specific indicators						
		to align with						

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions		
	Output		(year)	(2020)	And Collection Methods		Agency			
		Additional Notes / Comments:								
		57. "access to	basic services"	defined as acces	ss to services includ	ling education, hea	alth, agriculture, wate	er supply and sanitation, as well as		
		access to r 5.2.2)	ural roads (** c	loes not include	currently include i	ndicators related to	o access and connect	ivity to electricity. See indicators		
		58. Proposed r	efinement to P	BS Water and Sa	anitation indicators	s (MoWIE) to captu	re the following: No.	of households with year-round		
		access to a	dequate potab	le water (quality	/ & quantity) [GCF i	ndicator 2.2]				
		5.4.2 Number of			Program/	Annually	MOWIE, MoT			
		women and men			project					
		accessing low			documentation					
		improved								
		efficiency energy								
		technologies			Annual Reports					
					– MoWIE and					
		 Rural (W/M) University (W/M) 								
		 Orban (w/w) 								
		Additional Notas / C	ommonto:							
		Additional Notes / C	omments.							
		59. An aggrega	ntion / elaborat	ion of what Mo	WIE is currently co	llecting on in their	FTI: Number of peop	le with access to improved		
		cooking te	chnologies (FTI)	; Number of be	neficiaries of solar	energy technologie	es (FTI)			
		60. "Iow emiss	<i>ion"</i> energy tec	hnologies inclue	de renewable ener	gy options, both or	h and off-grid systems	s (e.g. solar power generating		
		61. <i>"improved</i>	efficiency" ene	rgy technologies	s may include impr	oved cookstoves, e	energy efficient lightb	ulbs.		
		5.4.3 Number of			Transportation	Every 5 years	MOT / Ethiopian			
		people using low			surveys		Roads Authority			
		emission transport					(ERA)			
		 disaggregated by 								
		women and men								

Objective	Outcome/ Output	Indicator (unit)	Baseline (year)	Target (2020)	Data Sources and Collection	Frequency	Responsible Agency	Assumptions
					Methods			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		 62. <i>"low emission transport"</i> includes walking, cycling, taking public transit (including light rail), or train. 63. Consider limiting this indicator to only women and men in urban environments given limited availability of low emission transpor modes for rural populations currently. 						ility of low emission transport
	5.5 Gender and	5.5.1 Gender			Gender	Every 5 years	Ministry of	
	differential	sensitivity of CRGE			sensitive		Children, Youth	
	vulnerability	policies, plans,			analysis		and Women	
		regulations and						
		sector strategies						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:			I		
		64 In an offert	to oncure con	dar and difforan	tial vulnorability o	ancidarations have	built into the CDCC	you performance indicators of the
		CRGE resul	to ensure gen ts matrix have	been designed t	o be gender-sensit	ive. To monitor th	e gender sensitivity of	f the CRGE. a gender sensitive
		analysis of	CRGE achieven	nents will be co	mpleted every 5-ye	ars, in line with th	e national developme	ent planning cycles.
CRGE ENABLER	S (* all sectors – sta	rting with all CRGE pric	ority sectors – s	hould be requir	ed to annually repo	ort on each of the C	CRGE enabler indicato	rs, showing evidence of a
supportive, ena	bling environment f	or progress towards CF	RGE Outcomes)					
	A. Data and	A.1 Evidence of			CRGE	Annually	CRGE Secretariat	
	information for	strengthened			scorecards		and all CRGE	
	decision	government					priority	
	support:	capacity to collect,			(see Sample in		Ministries;	
	Increased	analyse and apply			Annex of draft			
	generation and	climate			M&E System			
	use of climate	information to			Manual)		National Met	
	information in	decision-making					Agency (NMA)	
	decision-							
	making							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:	L	1	1	1	1
		65. Variation o	n GCF core ind	icator 6.1				
		66. CRGE Secre	tariat will coor	dinate sectoral	and national scorir	ng using CRGE scor	ecards.	

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
		A.2 Perception of			Household	Every 5 years	Disaster Risk	
		men, women,			survey		Management and	
		vulnerable					Food Security	
		populations, and					Sector	
		emergency			Survey of			
		response agencies			managers of			
		of the timeliness,			emergency			
		content and reach			response			
		of early warning			agencies with			
		systems			data			
					disaggregated			
					by sex.			
					-,			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		67. GEF, GCF C	ore Indicator (5.2)				
		68. Consider de	eveloping an o	oportunistic mo	nitoring tool to elic	it community <i>men</i>	ibers input into timeli	ness, content and reach of EWS
		Jollowing a	n aavisory (e.g	. a HH questioni	Asset	Appually	(RGE Secretariat	
		value of physical			management	Annuany	and all CRGE	
		assets			nlans		nriority Ministries	
		strengthened or			plans		priority winistries	
		constructed to						
		withstand						
		conditions			Annual reports			
		resulting from			from: MoT,			
		climate variability			Mol, MoWIE,			
		and change (e.g. to			MoA, MoUDC			
		heat, humidity.						
		wind velocity.						
		floods) ⁸ –						

⁸ In line with GCF and AF indicators

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
		disaggregated by						
		type						
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		69. Types of "p	hysical assets"	may include roa	ads, bridges, powe	r facilities, wastew	ater treatments plant	s, irrigation systems, buildings
		(residentia	l or commercia) 				
		70. "strengtner	ned or construc	tea to withstand	boot humidity flo	ng from climate va ods. wind) has hos	iriability and change"	means that current and/or future
		71. Assumption	n here is that if	physical assets	have been strength	nened or construct	ed to withstand CV/C	C. some form of climate
		information	n has been use	d.				
		72. In line with	GCF and AF ind	dicators [GCF In	dicator 3.1]			
	B. Greenhouse	B.1 Number of			Annual sector	Annually	CRGE Secretariat	
	gas inventory	sectoral MRV			reports		and all CRGE	
	and	systems designed					priority Ministries	
	measurement,	and operational						
	reporting and							
	verification							
	(MRV) systems:							
	Strengthened							
	government							
	systems to							
	measure,							
	report and							
	verify GHG							
	emissions and							
	reductions							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:				1	
		73. "designed of	and operationa	I" requires that	MRV systems are o	contributing to reg	ular reporting on sect	or or project-based GHG
		emissions a	and/or emission	ns savings				

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
	C. Policies,	C.1 Degree of			CRGE	Annually	CRGE Secretariat	
	plans and	integration/			scorecards		and all CRGE	
	regulations:	mainstreaming of					priority Ministries	
	Strengthened	climate change in			(see Sample in			
	government	national and sector			Annex of draft			
	institutional	planning and			M&E System			
	and regulatory	coordination			Manual)			
	systems for							
	green, climate							
	resilient							
	development							
	planning							
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		74. Variation o	n GCF, CIFS PPO	LK & AF	and national scorin		acards	
	D. Knowledge.	D.1 Extent to			CRGE		CRGE Secretariat	
	skills and	which sectors use			scorecards		and all CRGE	
	capacities:	improved tools.					priority Ministries	
	Strengthened	instruments.			(see Sample in		,,	
	government	strategies and			Annex of draft			
	capacities to	activities to			M&E System			
	plan, resource	respond to climate			Manual)			
	and deliver	variability and						
	green, climate	climate change						
	resilient							
	development				Project /			
	results				programme			
					documentation			
					(HHs,			
					communities &			
					businesses)			

Objective	Outcome/	Indicator (unit)	Baseline	Target	Data Sources	Frequency	Responsible	Assumptions
	Output		(year)	(2020)	and Collection		Agency	
					Methods			
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
		76 GCE (PDCR	and GEE)					
		70. GCI (FFCK	etariat will coor	dinate sectoral	and national scorin	g using CRGE score	ecards.	
		D.2 National CRGE			CRGE Capacity	Annually	CRGE Secretariat	
		Capacity			Assessment		and all CRGE	
		Assessment Score			Tool		priority Ministries	
							(using self-	
							reported methods	
							outlined in the	
							NCDF)	
		Additional Notes / C	omments:					
			с . н. н. с. <i>с</i>	- ·· •				
		78. Currently,	from the draft (apacity Assessr	nent I ool reviewed	d, modal scores are	e given for each of the	e 4 capacity areas.

Annex 2-Checklist for the Environmental & Social screening at Proposal Development Stage

Guidance:

Screening of environmental and social issues of all projects financed by the CRGE Facility must be carried out as early as possible so that environmental and social risks, impacts and opportunities can be appropriately integrated into the project planning and implementation rather than being brought in at the last minute. To this effect, a project proponent, in consultation with concerned stakeholders and local communities, must take an early action to submit the filled in screening checklist along with the PIN. See Annex E-IX for the PIN template. It is vital to underline that effective identification and management of the environmental and social risks, impacts and opportunities are key pre-requisites to assisting project proponents with the progress of their projects in a timely and efficient manner. It should also be noted that takes place throughout the project cycle. Therefore, it is essential that the environment and social issues are taken into account during identification, appraisal, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

Therefore, it is useful to note the following points while preparing the checklist.

- This checklist should be prepared and submitted by a project proponent to the CRGE Facility Secretariat to support the environmental categorization of a project.
- For projects comprising different components (with significant impact difference) it may be necessary to prepare more than one checklist.
- This checklist should be completed with the assistance of an Environmental and Social Development Safeguards Specialists.
- As this checklist focuses mainly on environmental issues and concerns, please ensure that social dimensions are adequately considered by referring to the social assessment section of the framework.
- Answer the questions assuming the "without mitigation" case. The purpose is to identify potential impacts. The response may be yesif the activity is likely to occur, no- if it is not expected to occur or unknownif it is uncertain at this stage whether it will occur or not.
- Use the "remarks" section of section B to discuss any anticipated mitigation measures as well as quantification of impacts if possible.
- To have some information and know how on how to rate impact significance, please see Section C of Annex E-I.

A: Project location & related information

Name	Name of the Project & its location:					
Name	Name of the Project Proponent:					
Sumr	nary of the project impacts:					
No.	Description	Responses	of project propo	nent		
Ι	Is the project area in or close to -	Yes	No or Not sure	Remarks, including description of distance, direction, connection to the project area		
1.1	High forest priority areas					
1.2	Biodiversity hotspot areas					
1.3	Natural habitats					
1.4	Cultural heritage site					
1.5	Protected area, including its buffer zone					
1.6	Wetlands					
1.7	Densely populated area					
1.8	Vulnerable people and community groups					

B: Rapid environmental and social impacts screening checklist

	Environmental and Social Issues	Respons	se of the propo	nent
		Yes	No or not known	Remarks, including quantification of impacts if possible, & anticipated mitigation measures
B. I	National and Regional State(s) Environmental &Social Policies, Legislations and Standards			
1.1	Policies: Is the project/operation consistent with national and regional state(s) environmental and social policies?			
1.2	Legal context and compliance:			
	• Is the project/operation likely to be consistent with national and regional state(s) environmental and social legal frameworks (including multilateral environmental agreements & social conventions to which Ethiopia is a party)?			
1.3	Environmental & Social principles and standards:			
	• Is the project/operation likely to be consistent with the national and regional state(s) principles and standards?			
B. II	Environmental Issues			
2.1	Environmental impacts:			
	 Will the project have an impact on air, soil, water through emissions or similar? Will the project cause impacts on the environment through increased exploitation of natural resources (e.g. forests, fisheries, minerals) Will the project cause alterations in the pattern of land use or land use conflicts? 			
2.2	Trans-boundary impacts:			
	• Will the project impact a cross-border national regional state(s) [(river, lake, forest, protected area, etc.]			
	Will the project impact downstream riparian countries?			
2.3	Protected areas:			
	Does the project include activities within or adjacent to protected and			

	Environmental and Social Issues	Respons	se of the propor	ient
		Yes	No or not known	Remarks, including quantification of impacts if possible, & anticipated mitigation measures
	environmentally sensitive areas?			
2.4	 <i>Critical Habitats:</i> Does the project involve significant conversion or degradation of critical habitats? Does the project impact on an area of critical habitat? 			
2.5	Biodiversity:			
2.3	 Will the project impact an area high in biodiversity or impact on a vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered species? Will the project cause threat to the biodiversity and local local ecosystems due to invasive species? 			
2.6	Forests:			
	Will the project impact high forest priority areas?Will the project involve significant conversion or degradation of high forest areas?			
2.7	 Cultural heritage: Will the project adversely impact non-replicable cultural property (e.g. archaeological, historical or religious sites), sites with unique natural values or intangible cultural heritage (e.g. social practices, rituals and festive events)? 			
2.8	<i>Pesticides Use & Pollution:</i>Will the project cause use of pesticides?			
2.9	Vulnerability to climate change:			
	 Will the outcome of the project and/or impact of the project on environment, communities or ecosystems be significantly affected by climate change projections? Will the project cause increase in flood flows? Will the project cause soil erosion and siltation? 			
2.10	Climate change mitigation:			
2.10	• Will the project cause Greenhouse Gas Emissions			
B. III	Social Issues			
3.1	 Social assessment: Will the project/operation have significant adverse social impacts and risks? 			
3.2	Involuntary resettlement:			
	 Will the project have any dislocation or involuntary resettlement? Will the project result in livelihood changes that can increase the pressure on available natural resources? 			
3.3	Underserved and vulnerable groups and Peoples:			
	 Will the project have adverse impacts on vulnerable groups? Have vulnerable groups amongst impacted stakeholders been identified and included in project planning and consultation and engagement activities? Does the project have considered the Constitutional rights of underserved & vulnerable peoples & community groups (e.g. rights to land a recourse. & to be consulted at a) 			
2 /	Fundos, resources, & to be consulted, etc.)			
5.4	 Are there risks of forced and/ or child labour? Will the project cause increased local unemployment? 			
3.5	Occupational and public health, safety and security:		1	
	Will the project have an impact (direct /indirect) on occupational and public health and safety?			
3.6	Stakeholder engagement:			
	Has the project engaged adequately with project-affected stakeholders? Other Environmental & Social Jacuace			
D. 1V				
4.1	Project boundaries & impacts:			

	Environmental and Social Issues	Respons	e of the propon	ient
		Yes	No or not known	Remarks, including quantification of impacts if possible, & anticipated mitigation measures
	 Are the project's ancillary/associated facilities/infrastructure and area of influence likely to pose any significant E&S impacts and risks? Will the project components generate or contribute to cumulative or long-term environmental or social impacts? Are there other developments directly associated with the proposed operation or which in combination will generate high impact? 			
4.2	 Environmental and social management: Is the environmental and social capacity/performance of the project proponent/promoter to manage environment and social issues satisfactory? Does the promoter have an appropriate and effective management system in place? 			
4.3	 Supply chain: Are there any risks of adverse environmental and social impacts of the project's supply chain? 			
4.4	 <i>Risks:</i> Is the project/operation likely to pose significant risks from an environmental, climate and/or social point of view? Is the CRGE-Facility likely to be exposed to any reputational risk? 			